

Slovak Baron Empey Murphy & Pinkney LLP
1800 E. Tahquitz Canyon Way
Palm Springs, CA 92262

1
2
3
4
5
6
7
8
9
10
11
12
13
14
15
16
17
18
19
20
21
22
23
24
25
26
27
28

[Exempt from filing fees, Gov. Code §6103]

John Pinkney (SBN 162586)
Shaun M. Murphy (SBN 194965)
Marguerite P. Battersby (SBN 115422)
Katelyn K. Empey (SBN 292110)
SLOVAK BARON EMPEY MURPHY & PINKNEY LLP
1800 E. Tahquitz Canyon Way, Palm Springs, CA 92262
Telephone: (760) 322-2275
Facsimile: (760) 322-2107
Email: pinkney@sbemp.com; murphy@sbemp.com;
battersby@sbemp.com; kempey@sbemp.com

Attorneys for Petitioner/Plaintiff, MISSION SPRINGS
WATER DISTRICT

FILED
SUPERIOR COURT OF CALIFORNIA
COUNTY OF RIVERSIDE

MAY 05 2022

L. Zuniga

MRI
MAY 06 2022

**SUPERIOR COURT OF THE STATE OF CALIFORNIA
COUNTY OF RIVERSIDE – PALM SPRINGS COURTHOUSE**

MISSION SPRINGS WATER DISTRICT,
a California county water district,

Petitioner and Plaintiff,

v.

DESERT WATER AGENCY, a California
public agency; COACHELLA VALLEY
WATER DISTRICT, a California county
water district; CALIFORNIA
DEPARTMENT OF WATER
RESOURCES, an agency of the State of
California; and DOES 1 through 50,

Respondents and Defendants.

THE CITY OF INDIO WATER
AUTHORITY, a California joint powers
authority and public agency; THE CITY OF
COACHELLA WATER AUTHORITY, a
California joint powers authority and public
agency; and DOES 51 through 100,

Real Parties in Interest.

CASE NO.: PSC 1600676
Case Assigned For All Purposes to Dept. PS2,

~~PROPOSED~~ JUDGMENT

Third Amended Petition Filed: June 14, 2018
Amended Petition Filed: April 12, 2016
Complaint Filed: February 16, 2016
Trial Date: July 1, 2022

1 Petitioner/Plaintiff MISSION SPRINGS WATER DISTRICT's (Mission Springs)
2 Petition for Writ of Mandate came on for hearing on December 9, 2021, in Department PS2 of
3 the above-entitled Court, the Honorable Randall White, presiding. Shaun Murphy and Katelyn
4 Empey of Slovak Baron Empey Murphy & Pinkney, LLP appeared by video on behalf of
5 Mission Springs Water District. Piero Dallarda, Wendy Wang, and Holland Stewart of Best Best
6 & Krieger LLP appeared by video on behalf of Desert Water Agency. Noah Golden-Krasner of
7 the Office of the Attorney General appeared by video on behalf of California Department of
8 Water Resources. Following oral argument, the matter was taken under submission. Having
9 taken the matter under submission,

10 IT IS HEREBY ORDERED, ADJUDGED AND DECREED that

11 1. Mission Springs' Petition for Administrative Mandamus (CCP § 1094.5) is
12 denied. Judgment is entered in favor of Desert Water Agency and against Mission Springs on its
13 First Cause of Action for Administrative Mandamus.

14 2. Mission Springs' Petition for Traditional Mandate (CCP § 1085) is denied.
15 Judgment is entered in favor of Desert Water Agency and the Department of Water Resources
16 and against Mission Springs on its Second Cause of Action for Traditional Mandate.

17 3. Judgment is entered in favor of Desert Water Agency and against Mission Springs
18 on its Fifth Cause of Action for Declaratory Relief-SGMA.

19 4. The remaining causes of action in the Third Amended Petition and Complaint in
20 this case have been voluntarily dismissed with prejudice pursuant to a stipulation of the parties
21 so no causes of action remain pending.

22 5. Mission Springs' Petition for Administrative Mandamus (CCP § 1094.5) against
23 Department of Water Resources was previously dismissed without prejudice and is hereby
24 dismissed with prejudice.

25 _____ 5/5/2022

26 ***[Signature]***
27 _____ **JUDGE OF THE SUPERIOR COURT**
28 **Ronald L. Johnson**