		Pursuant to Govt. Code § 6103)
1 2 3 4	GREGORY P. PRIAMOS, County Counsel (SBN JAMES E. BROWN, Assistant County Counsel (SI RONAK N. PATEL (SBN 249982) 3960 Orange Street, Suite 500 Riverside, CA 92501-3674 Telephone: (951) 955-6300 Facsimile: (951) 955-6363 Email: rpatel@rivco.org	
5 6 7	Attorneys for Defendant, COUNTY OF RIVERSIDE	Electronically Filed
8	SUPERIOR COURT OF T	HE STATE OF CALIFORNIA
9	COUNTY OF RIVERSIDE	
10		
11	AT&T MOBILITY LLC, PACIFIC BELL TELEPHONE COMPANY, AT&T CORP.,) Case No. RIC 1905814
12 13	Plaintiffs,	 Assigned for All Purposes to the Honorable: Judge Craig Riemer Department: 01
14	v.) DEFENDANT COUNTY OF RIVERSIDE'S ANSWER TO FIRST AMENDED
15 16	COUNTY OF RIVERSIDE,) COMPLAINT)
17	Defendant.)) Complaint Filed: 11/22/2019
18		
19		
20		
21		
22		rough its Attorney County Counsel for the County of
23	Riverside, answers the specific allegations of the	e First Amended Complaint ("Complaint") admitting,

Riverside, answers the specific allegations of the First Amended Complaint ("Complaint") admitting, denying or otherwise averring as follows:

ANSWER

Responding to paragraph 1 of the Complaint, Defendant County admits that Plaintiff AT&T
 Mobility LLC is a public utility and that said Plaintiff's property is assessed by Defendant State Board of
 Equalization. Defendant County avers that the fifth sentence in paragraph 1 purports to set forth statements

of law and legal conclusions and that no further response to such allegations is required. To the extent any response may be required to such allegations, Defendant County deny those allegations. Defendant County is currently without sufficient knowledge or information to form a belief as to the truth of the remaining allegations contained in paragraph 1, and on that basis denies the remaining allegations in paragraph 1.

2. Responding to paragraph 2 of the Complaint, Defendant County admits that Plaintiff Pacific Bell Telephone Company is a public utility and that said Plaintiff's property is assessed by Defendant State Board of Equalization. Defendant County avers that the fourth and fifth sentence in paragraph 2 purports to set forth statements of law and legal conclusions and that no further response to such allegations is required. To the extent any response may be required to such allegations, Defendant County denies those allegations. Defendant County is currently without sufficient knowledge or information to form a belief as to the truth of the remaining allegations contained in paragraph 2, and on that basis denies the remaining allegations in paragraph 2.

Responding to paragraph 3 of the Complaint, Defendant County admits that Plaintiff AT&T 3. Corp is a public utility and that said Plaintiff's property is assessed by Defendant State Board of Equalization. Defendant County avers that the fourth in paragraph 3 purports to set forth statements of law and legal conclusions and that no further response to such allegations is required. To the extent any response may be required to such allegations, Defendant County denies those allegations. Defendant County is currently without sufficient knowledge or information to form a belief as to the truth of the remaining allegations contained in paragraph 3, and on that basis denies the remaining allegations in paragraph 3.

Responding to paragraph 4 of the Complaint, Defendant County admits that it is a County 4. and a political subdivision of the State of California. Defendant County avers that the remainder of paragraph 4 purports to set forth statements of law and legal conclusions, and that no further response to paragraph 4 is required. To the extent any response may be required as to any remaining allegations in paragraph 4, Defendant County denies those allegations.

5. Responding to paragraph 5 of the Complaint, Defendant County avers that the provisions of the Revenue and Taxation Code speak for themselves, and to the extent the allegations in paragraph 5 seek to draw any factual or legal conclusions from those statutes, Defendant County denies those allegations. To the extent the remainder of paragraph 5 purports to set forth statements of law and legal conclusions drawn

from the BOE's advisory letter, Defendant County avers that no further response is required. To the extent any response may be required, Defendant County denies those allegations.

6. Defendant County generally admits that this Court is one of general jurisdiction and that it would have jurisdiction pursuant to Revenue and Taxation Code section 5140. Defendant, however, is without sufficient knowledge or information to admit or deny that Section 5140 is the controlling refund state. As such, Defendant County is without sufficient knowledge or information to form a belief as to the truth of the remaining allegations contained in paragraph 6, and on that basis denies the remaining allegations in paragraph 6.

 To the extent that Section 5140 is the controlling statute, Defendant County admits that venue is proper as alleged in paragraph 7.

8. Responding to paragraph 8 of the Complaint, Defendant County admits that the BOE assesses all taxable property in the State owned or used by regulated privately-owned utilities, including telephone companies. Defendant County avers that, to the extent paragraph 8 purports to set forth statements of law and legal conclusions, no further response to paragraph 8 is required. To the extent any response may be required to such statements, Defendant County denies those allegations.

9. Responding to paragraph 9 of the Complaint, Defendant County admits that the BOE prepares and transmits a roll to each county auditor identifying unitary assessments. Defendant County avers that, to the extent paragraph 8 purports to set forth statements of law and legal conclusions, no further response to paragraph 8 is required. To the extent any response may be required to such statements, Defendant County denies those allegations. Defendant County is without sufficient knowledge or information to form a belief as to the truth of the remaining allegations contained in paragraph 9, and on that basis denies any remaining allegations in paragraph 9.

10. Responding to paragraph 10 of the Complaint, Defendant County admits that property assessed by the BOE is deemed "state-assessed property". Defendant County avers that, to the extent paragraph 10 purports to set forth statements of law and legal conclusions, no further response to paragraph 10 is required. To the extent any response may be required to such statements, Defendant County denies those allegations.

2 privately-held public utilities. Defendant County further admits that the BOE assesses Plaintiff's property. 3 Defendant County avers that, to the extent paragraph 11 purports to set forth statements of law and legal 4 conclusions, no further response to paragraph 11 is required. To the extent any response may be required 5 to such statements, Defendant County denies those allegations. 6 12. Responding to paragraph 12 of the Complaint, Defendant County admits that the BOE 7 assessed the value of Plaintiffs' state-assessed property for 2014-2015 and transmitted the roll to Defendant 8 County. Defendant County avers that, to the extent paragraph 12 purports to set forth statements of law 9 and legal conclusions, no further response to paragraph 12 is required. To the extent any response may be required to such statements, Defendant County denies those allegations. Responding to paragraph 13 of the Complaint, Defendant County is without sufficient 13. knowledge or information to form a belief as to the truth of the remaining allegations contained in paragraph 13, and on that basis denies the remaining allegations in paragraph 13. 14.

11.

14. Responding to paragraph 14 of the Complaint, Defendant County admits that it calculates the tax rate pursuant to Revenue and Taxation Code section 100(b). Defendant County further admits that it uses the BOE's allocated value of the state-assessed property as set forth in Section 100(b) and levied taxes on Plaintiffs' property for 2014-2015 fiscal years. Defendant County avers that, to the extent paragraph 14 purports to set forth statements of law and legal conclusions, no further response to paragraph 14 is required. To the extent any response may be required to such statements, Defendant County denies those allegations.

Responding to paragraph 11 of the Complaint, Defendant County admits that Plaintiffs are

15. Defendant County admits the allegations in paragraph 15.

16. Defendant County admits the allegations in paragraph 16.

17. Defendant County admits that Plaintiffs sought a refund on various grounds as set forth in paragraph 17, but denies that the remaining allegations in paragraph 17. Defendant County further avers that, to the extent paragraph 17 purports to set forth statements of law and legal conclusions, no further response to paragraph 17 is required. To the extent any response may be required to such statements, Defendant County denies those allegations.

18. Responding to paragraph 18 of the Complaint, Defendant County avers that the provisions of Section 19 of Article XIII of the California Constitution speak for themselves and to the extent the allegations in paragraph 18 seek to draw any legal conclusions from those statutes, Defendant County denies those allegations. Defendant County denies the remaining allegations contained in paragraph 18.

19. Defendant County denies the allegations contained in paragraph 19.

20. Responding to paragraph 20 of the Complaint, Defendant County is without sufficient knowledge or information to form a belief as to the truth of the remaining allegations contained in paragraph 20, and on that basis denies the remaining allegations in paragraph 20.

21. Defendant County admits the allegations contained in paragraph 21.

22. Defendant County admits that Plaintiffs have paid their property taxes, but denies the remaining allegations contained in paragraph 22. Defendant County further avers that, to the extent paragraph 22 purports to set forth statements of law and legal conclusions, no further response to paragraph 22 is required. To the extent any response may be required to such statements, Defendant County denies those allegations.

23. Defendant County denies the allegations contained in paragraph 23.

24. Defendant County restates by reference its responses to the allegations in paragraph 1 through 23. Defendant County denies any remaining allegations in paragraph 24.

25. Responding to paragraph 25 of the Complaint, Defendant County avers that paragraph 25 purports to set forth statements of law and legal conclusions, and that no further response to paragraph 25 is required. To the extent any response may be required as to any allegations in paragraph 25, Defendant County denies those allegations. Defendant denies any remaining allegations in paragraph 25.

26. Responding to paragraph 26 of the Complaint, Defendant County avers that paragraph 26 purports to set forth statements of law and legal conclusions, and that no further response to paragraph 26 is required. To the extent any response may be required as to any allegations in paragraph 26, Defendant County denies those allegations. Defendant denies any remaining allegations in paragraph 26.

27. Defendant County restates by reference its responses to the allegations in paragraph 1 through 26. Defendant County denies any remaining allegations in paragraph 27.

28. Responding to paragraph 28 of the Complaint, Defendant County avers that paragraph 28 purports to set forth statements of law and legal conclusions, and that no further response to paragraph 28 is required. To the extent any response may be required as to any allegations in paragraph 28, Defendant County denies those allegations. Defendant denies any remaining allegations in paragraph 28.

29. Responding to paragraph 29 of the Complaint, Defendant County avers that paragraph 29 purports to set forth statements of law and legal conclusions, and that no further response to paragraph 29 is required. To the extent any response may be required as to any allegations in paragraph 29, Defendant County denies those allegations. Defendant denies any remaining allegations in paragraph 29.

 Defendant County restates by reference its responses to the allegations in paragraph 1 through 29. Defendant County denies any remaining allegations in paragraph 30.

31. Responding to paragraph 31 of the Complaint, Defendant County avers that paragraph 31 purports to set forth statements of law and legal conclusions, and that no further response to paragraph 31 is required. To the extent any response may be required as to any allegations in paragraph 31, Defendant County denies those allegations. Defendant denies any remaining allegations in paragraph 31.

32. Responding to paragraph 33 of the Complaint, Defendant County avers that paragraph 32 purports to set forth statements of law and legal conclusions, and that no further response to paragraph 32 is required. To the extent any response may be required as to any allegations in paragraph 32, Defendant County denies those allegations. Defendant denies any remaining allegations in paragraph 32.

PRAYER FOR RELIEF

This Defendant County denies that plaintiff is entitled to any of the relief it seeks in each of the seven (7) paragraphs under its Prayer for Relief or any other relief.

AFFIRMATIVE DEFENSES

Defendant County asserts the following affirmative defenses. In asserting these defenses, Defendant County does not assume the burden of establishing any fact or proposition where that burden is properly imposed on plaintiff. Defendant County reserves the right to assert additional affirmative defenses that are revealed during discovery.

1	FIRST AFFIRMATIVE DEFENSE
2	(Failure to State Cause of Action)
3	The alleged cause of action in the Complaint fails to state facts sufficient to constitute a cause of
4	action.
5	SECOND AFFIRMATIVE DEFENSE
6	(Failure to State a Claim)
7	The Complaint fails to state a claim upon which relief may be granted.
8	
9	THIRD AFFIRMATIVE DEFENSE
10	(Failure to Join Indispensable Parties)
11	Plaintiffs' claims are barred because it has failed to join indispensable parties.
12	
13 14	FOURTH AFFIRMATIVE DEFENSE
15	(Statutory Bar)
16	To the extent Plaintiffs seek injunctive relief, that relief is barred by statute, under Section 4807 of
17	the California Revenue and Taxation Code.
18	
19	FIFTH AFFIRMATIVE DEFENSE
20	(Statute of Limitations)
21	Plaintiffs are time-barred from seeking refund of taxes paid. Plaintiffs are further time-barred from
22	challenging the unitary tax pursuant to state law, including, but not limited to, validation and/or reverse-
23	validation actions.
24	
25	SIXTH AFFIRMATIVE DEFENSE
26	(Laches)
27	If there has been any event entitling Plaintiffs to relief as pled in the Complaint, which Defendant
28	County denies, Plaintiffs, by reason of its delay in bringing this action, have foregone any and all causes of
	7 DEFENDANT COUNTY OF RIVERSIDE'S ANSWER TO FIRST AMENDED COMPLAINT

1	action that they otherwise might have against Defendant County.	
2		
3	SEVENTH AFFIRMATIVE DEFENSE	
4	(Waiver)	
5	Plaintiffs are precluded and barred from asserting any claim or obtaining any relief arising out of	
6	the matters alleged to have occurred in the Complaint in that plaintiff has, by its conduct, waived the claim	
7	alleged.	
8	EIGHTH AFFIRMATIVE DEFENSE	
9	(Good Faith)	
10	Defendant County at all time acted in complete good faith and reasonably within the meaning of	
11	all federal and state statutes, doctrines and judicial authorities.	
12	an rederar and state statutes, doernies and judiciar authornies.	
13	NUMPELA FEIDMATIME DEFENSE	
14	NINTH AFFIRMATIVE DEFENSE	
15	(Attorneys' Fees)	
16	Plaintiffs are not entitled to their cost of suit or attorneys' fees.	
17		
18	TENTH AFFIRMATIVE DEFENSE	
19	(Additional Affirmative Defenses)	
20	Defendant County currently has insufficient knowledge or information upon which to form a belief	
21	as to whether it may have additional, as yet unstated, separate defenses available. Defendant County	
22	reserves the right to assert further affirmative defenses in the event that it determines that such defenses are	
23	appropriate.	
24		
25		
26	111	
27	///	
28	111	
	8 DEFENDANT COUNTY OF RIVERSIDE'S ANSWER TO FIRST AMENDED COMPLAINT	

1		
2	PRAYER	
3	WHEREFORE, Defendant County prays as follows:	
4	1. That Plaintiffs take nothing by reason of its Complaint.	
5	2. That judgment be entered in favor of Defendant County and against plaintiff on its cla	im of
6	relief asserted in the Complaint.	
7	3. That Defendant County be awarded costs of suit incurred in defense of this action; and	1
	4. For such other relief as the Court deems proper.	
8		
9 10	GREGORY P. PRIAMOS	
	County Counsel	
11	$\mathcal{O}\mathcal{X}$	
12 13	Dated: March 19, 2020 By: By: Bonck N. Potel Deputy County County	
	Ronak N. Patel, Deputy County Counsel Attorneys for Defendant, COUNTY OF RIVERSIDE	
14	COUNTY OF RIVERSIDE	
15		
16 17		
17		
19 20		
20		
21		
22		
24		
25		
26		
27		
28		
	9	
	DEFENDANT COUNTY OF RIVERSIDE'S ANSWER TO FIRST AMENDED COMPLAINT	

1	PROOF OF SERVICE
2	Superior Court Case No. RIC1905814
3	
4	I, the undersigned, say that I am a citizen of the United States and am employed in the county of Riverside, over the age of 18 years and not a party to the within action or proceeding; that my business address is: 3960 Orange Street, Suite 500, Riverside, CA 92501-3611.
5	That on <u>March 19, 2020</u> , I served a copy of the following listed documents:
6	DEFENDANT COUNTY OF RIVERSIDE'S ANSWER TO FIRST AMENDED COMPLAINT
7	by delivering a true copy thereof in a sealed envelope(s) addressed as follows:
8	Martha A. Boersch, Esq. Attorney for Plaintiff(s),
9	Matthew C. Dirkes, Esq.AT&T MOBILITY LLC,BOERSCH & ILLOVSKY LLPPACIFIC BELL TELEPHONE COMPANY,
10	1611 Telegraph Ave., Suite 806AT&T CORP.Oakland, CA 92612AT&T CORP.
11	T: (415) 225-5412
12 13	E-Mail: <u>martha@boersch-illovsky.com</u> <u>matt@boersch-illovsky.com</u>
14	Eric J. Miethke, Esq.Attorney for Plaintiff(s),CAPITOL LAW AND POLICY, INC.AT&T MOBILITY LLC,
15	1215 K Street Suite 1510 PACIFIC BELL TELEPHONE COMPANY,
16	Sacramento, CA 95814 T: (916) 823-6224
17	E-Mail: <u>eric@capitollawandpolicy.com</u>
18	FIRST CLASS MAIL. I am "readily familiar" with this office's practice of collection and processing
19	correspondence for mailing. Under that practice it would be deposited with the U.S. Postal Service on that same day with postage thereon fully prepaid at Riverside, California, in the ordinary course of business. I
20	am aware that on motion of the party served, service is presumed invalid if postal cancellation date or postage meter date is more than one day after date of deposit for mailing in affidavit.
21	
22	I declare under penalty of perjury under the laws of the State of California that the foregoing is true and correct.
23	Executed on <u>March 19, 2020</u> , at Riverside, California.
24	
25	DENISE R. ESPARZA
26	DEMBER, LSI AREA
27	
28	
	10
	DEFENDANT COUNTY OF RIVERSIDE'S ANSWER TO FIRST AMENDED COMPLAINT