EXEMPT FROM FILING FEES PURSUANT TO GOVERNMENT **CODE SECTION 6103**

SUPERIOR COURT OF CALIFORNIA COUNTY OF RIVERSIDE

OCT 0 4 2018

Stacy Antonacci

SUPERIOR COURT OF THE STATE OF CALIFORNIA

COUNTY OF RIVERSIDE – PALM SPRINGS COURT HOUSE

MISSION SPRINGS WATER DISTRICT,

Petitioner and Plaintiff.

public agency; COACHELLA VALLEY WATER DISTRICT, a California county RESOURCES, an agency of the State of California; and DOES 1 through 50,

AUTHORITY, a California joint powers authority and public agency; THE CITY AUTHORITY, a California joint powers authority and public agency; and DOES 51

Real Parties in Interest.

Case No. PSC1600676 Judge: Hon. David M. Chapman

DESERT WATER AGENCY'S ANSWER TO THIRD AMENDED PETITION FOR WRIT OF MANDATE AND/OR ADMINISTRATIVE MANDAMUS; COMPLAINT FOR BREACH OF CONTRACT (SPECIFIC PERFORMANCE) AND BREACH OF COVENANTS OF GOOD FAITH AND FAIR DEALING; COMPLAINT FOR DECLARATORY RELIEF AND INJUNCTIVE RELIEF

3rd Amended Petition Filed: June 14, 2018 2nd Amended Petition Filed: March 30, 2017 Amended Petition Filed: April 12, 2016 Action Filed: February 16, 2016 Trial Date: None Set

01358.00013\31514549.2

28

DWA'S ANSWER TO MSWD'S THIRD AMENDED PETITION AND COMPLAINT



DEFENDANT/RESPONDENT DESERT WATER AGENCY'S ANSWER

Defendant/Respondent Desert Water Agency ("DWA") hereby files its Answer to Petitioner/Plaintiff Mission Springs Water District's ("MSWD") Third Amended Petition for Writs of Mandate and/or Administrative Mandamus; Complaint for Breach of Contract (Specific Performance) and Breach of Covenants of Good Faith and Fair Dealing; and Declaratory Relief and Injunctive Relief ("Third Amended Petition and Complaint") as follows:

<u>ANSWER</u>

- 1. In answer to paragraph 1, DWA admits that it is the exclusive Groundwater Sustainability Agency ("GSA") within the entirety of its statutory boundaries, including but not limited to those portions of the Mission Creek and Indio Sub-Basins that underlie the majority of MSWD's water service area. Except as expressly admitted herein, DWA avers that each and every remaining allegation in paragraph 1 consists of legal arguments, theories, or conclusions to which no answer is required. However, to the extent that paragraph 1 contains any remaining factual allegations, DWA denies each and every remaining allegation.
- 2. In answer to paragraph 2, DWA avers that each and every allegation in it consists of legal arguments, theories, or conclusions to which no answer is required. However, to the extent that paragraph 2 contains any factual allegations, DWA denies each and every allegation contained therein.
- 3. In answer to paragraph 3, DWA admits that the Department of Water Resources ("DWR") deemed DWA's notice of intent to be complete. Additionally, DWA admits that DWR posted "overlap" status for MSWD and DWA in the three-square mile area. Except as expressly admitted herein, DWA avers that each and every remaining allegation in paragraph 3 consists of legal arguments, theories, or conclusions to which no answer is required. However, to the extent that paragraph 3 contains any remaining factual allegations, DWA denies each and every remaining allegation.
- 4. In answer to paragraph 4, DWA avers that each and every allegation in it consists of legal arguments, theories, or conclusions to which no answer is required. However, to the extent that paragraph 4 contains any factual allegations, DWA denies each and every allegation.

-2-

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

24

25

26

27

28

01358.00013\31514549.2

- 5. 1 In answer to paragraph 5, DWA is without sufficient knowledge or information to 2 form a belief as to the truth of the allegations contained therein and, on that basis, denies each and 3 every allegation. 6. 4 In answer to paragraph 6, DWA admits the allegations contained therein. 5 7. In answer to paragraph 7, DWA is without sufficient knowledge or information to form a belief as to the truth of the allegations contained therein and, on that basis, denies each and 7 every allegation. 8
 - 8. In answer to paragraph 8, DWA is without sufficient knowledge or information to form a belief as to the truth of the allegations contained therein and, on that basis, denies each and every allegation.
 - 9. In answer to paragraph 9, DWA is without sufficient knowledge or information to form a belief as to the truth of the allegations contained therein and, on that basis, denies each and every allegation.
 - 10. In answer to paragraph 10, DWA is without sufficient knowledge or information to form a belief as to the truth of the allegations contained therein and, on that basis, denies each and every allegation.
 - 11. In answer to paragraph 11, DWA is without sufficient knowledge or information to form a belief as to the truth of the allegations contained therein and, on that basis, denies each and every allegation.
 - 12. In answer to paragraph 12, DWA is without sufficient knowledge or information to form a belief as to the truth of the allegations contained therein and, on that basis, denies each and every allegation.
 - 13. In answer to paragraph 13, DWA is without sufficient knowledge or information to form a belief as to the truth of the allegations contained therein and, on that basis, denies each and every allegation.
 - 14. In answer to paragraph 14, DWA admits that it is a public agency of the State of California whose administrative office is located in Riverside County, California. Except as expressly admitted herein, DWA is without sufficient knowledge or information to form a belief

- 15. In answer to paragraph 15, DWA avers that each and every allegation in it consists of legal arguments, theories, or conclusions to which no answer is required. However, to the extent that paragraph 15 contains any factual allegations, DWA is without sufficient knowledge or information to form a belief as to the truth of the allegations contained therein and, on that basis, denies each and every allegation.
 - 16. In answer to paragraph 16, DWA denies the allegations contained therein.
 - 17. In answer to paragraph 17, DWA denies the allegations contained therein.
- 18. In answer to paragraph 18, DWA denies the characterization of Garnet Hill as a "sub-basin." It is a sub-area of the Indio (Whitewater River) Sub-Basin. Additionally, DWA denies the allegation that the San Gorgonio Basin is a sub-basin of the Coachella Valley Groundwater Basin. Lastly, with regards to the allegation that Garnet Hill is more closely linked to the Mission Creek Sub-Basin than the Indio (Whitewater River) Sub-Basin, DWA is without sufficient knowledge or information to form a belief as to the truth of the allegation and, on that basis, denies it. Except as expressly denied herein, DWA admits the remaining allegations contained in paragraph 18.
- 19. In answer to paragraph 19, DWA admits that it is authorized to, among other things, provide water service to customers within its service area. Except as expressly admitted herein, DWA is without sufficient knowledge or information to form a belief as to the truth of the allegations contained therein and, on that basis, denies each and every allegation.
 - 20. In answer to paragraph 20, DWA denies the allegations contained therein.
- 21. In answer to paragraph 21, DWA denies the characterization of Garnet Hill as a "sub-basin." It is a sub-area of the Indio (Whitewater River) Sub-Basin. Moreover, DWA denies that the Indio (Whitewater River) Sub-Basin is designated by DWR as "medium priority." Except as expressly denied herein, DWA avers that each and every remaining allegation in it consists of legal arguments, theories, or conclusions to which no answer is required. However, to the extent that paragraph 21 contains any remaining factual allegations, DWA is without -4-

01358.00013\31514549.2

- 22. In answer to paragraph 22, DWA admits that it has authority to import and store water in the Mission Creek and Indio (Whitewater River) Subbasins ("Subbasins"). Additionally, DWA admits that it is authorized to levy and collect assessments. DWA denies that its statutory authority to import water is limited to State Water Project water. Except as expressly admitted or denied herein, DWA avers that each and every remaining allegation in paragraph 22 consists of legal arguments, theories, or conclusions to which no answer is required. However, to the extent that paragraph 22 contains any remaining factual allegations, DWA is without sufficient knowledge or information to form a belief as to the truth of the remaining allegations contained in paragraph 22 and, on that basis, denies each and every allegation contained therein.
- 23. In answer to paragraph 23, DWA is without sufficient knowledge or information to form a belief as to the truth of the allegations contained therein and, on that basis, denies each and every allegation.
- 24. In answer to paragraph 24, DWA is without sufficient knowledge or information to form a belief as to the truth of the allegations contained therein and, on that basis, denies each and every allegation.
- 25. In answer to paragraph 25, DWA denies the characterization of Garnet Hill as a "sub-basin." It is a sub-area of the Indio (Whitewater River) Sub-Basin. Additionally, DWA denies the allegation that the San Gorgonio Basin is a sub-basin of the Coachella Valley Groundwater Basin. Except as expressly denied herein, DWA is without sufficient knowledge or information to form a belief as to the truth of the remaining allegations contained therein and, on that basis, denies each and every allegation.
- 26. In answer to paragraph 26, DWA is without sufficient knowledge or information to form a belief as to the truth of the allegations contained therein and, on that basis, denies each and every allegation.
- 27. In answer to paragraph 27, DWA avers that each and every allegation consists of legal arguments, theories, or conclusions to which no answer is required. However, to the extent 5 -

that paragraph 27 contains any factual allegations, DWA denies each and every allegation.

- 28. In answer to paragraph 28, DWA avers that each and every allegation consists of legal arguments, theories, or conclusions to which no answer is required. However, to the extent that paragraph 28 contains any factual allegations, DWA is without sufficient knowledge or information to form a belief as to the truth of the allegations contained therein and, on that basis, denies each and every allegation.
- 29. In answer to paragraph 29, DWA avers that each and every allegation consists of legal arguments, theories, or conclusions to which no answer is required. However, to the extent that paragraph 29 contains any factual allegations, DWA is without sufficient knowledge or information to form a belief as to the truth of the allegations contained therein and, on that basis, denies each and every allegation.
- 30. In answer to paragraph 30, DWA avers that each and every allegation consists of legal arguments, theories, or conclusions to which no answer is required. However, to the extent that paragraph 30 contains any factual allegations, DWA is without sufficient knowledge or information to form a belief as to the truth of the allegations contained therein and, on that basis, denies each and every allegation.
- 31. In answer to paragraph 31, DWA avers that each and every allegation consists of legal arguments, theories, or conclusions to which no answer is required. However, to the extent that paragraph 31 contains any factual allegations, DWA is without sufficient knowledge or information to form a belief as to the truth of the allegations contained therein and, on that basis, denies each and every allegation.
- 32. In answer to paragraph 32, DWA avers that each and every allegation consists of legal arguments, theories, or conclusions to which no answer is required. However, to the extent that paragraph 32 contains any factual allegations, DWA is without sufficient knowledge or information to form a belief as to the truth of the allegations contained therein and, on that basis, denies each and every allegation.
- 33. In answer to paragraph 33, DWA is without sufficient knowledge or information to form a belief as to the truth of the allegations contained therein and, on that basis, denies each and -6-

every allegation.

- 34. In answer to paragraph 34, DWA is without sufficient knowledge or information to form a belief as to the truth of the allegations contained therein and, on that basis, denies each and every allegation.
- 35. In answer to paragraph 35, DWA admits that MSWD and CVWD supported the formation of DWA. Except as expressly admitted herein, DWA is without sufficient knowledge or information to form a belief as to the truth of the allegations contained therein and, on that basis, denies each and every allegation.
- 36. In answer to paragraph 36, DWA admits that it was formed by special act of the California legislature in 1961. DWA also admits that its statutory powers include, but are not limited to, "contracting for and importing" water into the Coachella Valley Groundwater Basin and "annually determin[ing] the costs of replenishing groundwater supplies within its boundaries, and then charg[ing] an assessment on benefitted water producers." Moreover, DWA admits that its Board of Directors is comprised of five members elected by voters within its boundaries. Except as expressly admitted herein, DWA avers that each and every remaining allegation in paragraph 36 consists of legal arguments, theories, or conclusions to which no answer is required. However, to the extent that paragraph 36 contains any remaining factual allegations, DWA denies each and every remaining allegation.
- 37. In answer to paragraph 37, DWA admits that it provides retail water service within its boundaries, including but not limited to the area shown in Exhibit C to the Third Amended Petition and Complaint. Except as expressly admitted herein, DWA denies each and every remaining allegation.
- 38. In answer to paragraph 38, DWA avers that each and every allegation consists of legal arguments, theories, or conclusions to which no answer is required. However, to the extent that paragraph 38 contains any factual allegations, DWA denies each and every allegation.
- 39. In answer to paragraph 39, DWA admits that the MSWD (formerly known as Desert Hot Springs Water District) Board of Directors consented to annexation into DWA.

 Except as expressly admitted herein, DWA avers that each and every remaining allegation

 -7-

consists of legal arguments, theories, or conclusions to which no answer is required. However, to the extent that paragraph 39 contains any further factual allegations, DWA denies each and every remaining allegation.

- 40. In answer to paragraph 40, DWA alleges that the statute speaks for itself. Except as expressly alleged by DWA herein, to the extent that paragraph 40 contains any factual allegations, DWA is without sufficient knowledge or information to form a belief as to the truth of any remaining factual allegations contained therein and, on that basis, denies each and every allegation.
- 41. In answer to paragraph 41, DWA alleges that the statute speaks for itself. Except as expressly alleged by DWA herein, DWA avers that each and every allegation in paragraph 41 consists of legal arguments, theories, or conclusions to which no answer is required.
- 42. In answer to paragraph 42, DWA alleges that the statute speaks for itself. Except as expressly alleged by DWA herein, DWA avers that each and every remaining allegation in paragraph 42 consists of legal arguments, theories, or conclusions to which no answer is required. However, to the extent that paragraph 42 contains any remaining factual allegations, DWA is without sufficient knowledge or information to form a belief as to the truth of the remaining allegations contained therein and, on that basis, denies each and every remaining allegation.
- 43. In answer to paragraph 43, DWA avers that each and every allegation in it consists of legal arguments, theories, or conclusions to which no answer is required. However, to the extent that paragraph 43 contains any factual allegations, DWA is without sufficient knowledge or information to form a belief as to the truth of the remaining allegations contained therein and, on that basis, denies each and every remaining allegation.
- 44. In answer to paragraph 44, DWA avers that each and every allegation in it consists of legal arguments, theories, or conclusions to which no answer is required. However, to the extent that paragraph 44 contains any factual allegations, DWA denies each and every remaining allegation.
- 45. In answer to paragraph 45, DWA denies that MSWD did not consent to its unqualified annexation into DWA. Except as expressly denied herein, DWA avers that each and -8-

every remaining allegation in it consists of legal arguments, theories, or conclusions to which no answer is required. However, to the extent that paragraph 45 contains any remaining factual allegations, DWA denies each and every remaining allegation.

- 46. In answer to paragraph 46, DWA avers that each and every allegation in it consists of legal arguments, theories, or conclusions to which no answer is required. However, to the extent that paragraph 46 contains any factual allegations, DWA denies each and every remaining allegation.
- 47. In answer to paragraph 47, DWA avers that each and every allegation in it consists of legal arguments, theories, or conclusions to which no answer is required. However, to the extent that paragraph 47 contains any factual allegations, DWA is without sufficient knowledge or information to form a belief as to the truth of the remaining allegations contained therein and, on that basis, denies each and every remaining allegation.
- 48. In answer to paragraph 48, DWA admits that it acquired mutual water companies pursuant to DWA's authorities. DWA also admits that it currently provides retail water services outside of MSWD's service areas. Except as expressly admitted herein, DWA avers that each and every remaining allegation in paragraph 48 consists of legal arguments, theories, or conclusions to which no answer is required. However, to the extent that paragraph 48 contains any remaining factual allegations, DWA is without sufficient knowledge or information to form a belief as to the truth of the remaining allegations contained therein and, on that basis, denies each and every remaining allegation.
- 49. In answer to paragraph 49, DWA avers that each and every allegation in it consists of legal arguments, theories, or conclusions to which no answer is required. However, to the extent that paragraph 49 contains any factual allegations, DWA denies each and every remaining allegation.
- 50. In answer to paragraph 50, DWA avers that each and every allegation in it consists of legal arguments, theories, or conclusions to which no answer is required. However, to the extent that paragraph 50 contains any factual allegations, DWA is without sufficient knowledge or information to form a belief as to the truth of the remaining allegations contained therein and,

on that basis, denies each and every remaining allegation.

- 51. In answer to paragraph 51, DWA avers that each and every allegation in it consists of legal arguments, theories, or conclusions to which no answer is required. However, to the extent that paragraph 51 contains any factual allegations, DWA denies each and every remaining allegation.
- 52. In answer to paragraph 52, DWA admits that MSWD pays replenishment assessments to DWA for water MSWD pumps from the Mission Creek and Indio Sub-Basins. Except as expressly admitted herein, DWA avers that each and every remaining allegation in paragraph 52 consists of legal arguments, theories, or conclusions to which no answer is required. However, to the extent that paragraph 52 contains any remaining factual allegations, DWA denies each and every remaining allegation.
- 53. In answer to paragraph 53, DWA avers that each and every allegation in it consists of legal arguments, theories, or conclusions to which no answer is required. However, to the extent that paragraph 53 contains any factual allegations, DWA denies each and every allegation contained therein.
- 54. In answer to paragraph 54, DWA admits that it had discussions with MSWD and CVWD concerning importing and replenishing groundwater in the Mission Creek Sub-Basin, that MSWD adopted a resolution in support of replenishment in the Mission Creek Sub-Basin, and that a turnout from the Colorado River aqueduct and spreading basins were constructed to implement the replenishment program in the Mission Creek Sub-Basin. Except as expressly admitted herein, DWA is without sufficient knowledge or information to form a belief as to the truth of the remaining allegations contained therein and, on that basis, denies each and every allegation.
- 55. In answer to paragraph 55, DWA admits that it executed the Mission Creek Groundwater Replenishment Agreement ("Mission Creek Agreement") and alleges that the Mission Creek Agreement speaks for itself. DWA further admits that MSWD submitted a White Paper in 2003 and alleges that the document speaks for itself. Except as expressly admitted or alleged by DWA herein, DWA avers that each and every remaining allegation in paragraph 55 10 -

01358.00013\31514549.2

2

3

4

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

24

25

26

27

28

consists of legal arguments, theories, or conclusions to which no answer is required. However, to the extent that paragraph 55 contains any remaining factual allegations, DWA denies each and every allegation.

- 56. In answer to paragraph 56, DWA admits the allegations contained therein.
- 57. In answer to paragraph 57, DWA admits that in 2004, MSWD, DWA, and Defendant Coachella Valley Water District ("CVWD") entered into a written settlement agreement ("2004 Settlement Agreement") which included the terms at pages 14 and 15, lines 22 through 27 and 1 through 12, respectively, of the Third Amended Petition/Complaint and provided for the dismissal of the 2004 lawsuit without prejudice. DWA alleges the 2004 Settlement Agreement speaks for itself. Except as expressly admitted or alleged herein, DWA avers that each and every remaining allegation in paragraph 57 consists of legal arguments, theories, or conclusions to which no answer is required. However, to the extent paragraph 57 contains any remaining factual allegations, DWA is without sufficient knowledge or information to form a belief as to the truth of the remaining allegations contained therein and, on that basis, denies each and every remaining allegation.
- In answer to paragraph 58, DWA alleges that the 2004 Settlement Agreement 58. speaks for itself. Except as expressly alleged by DWA herein, DWA avers that each and every remaining allegation in paragraph 58 consists of legal arguments, theories, or conclusions to which no answer is required. With respect to what MSWD relied upon in approving the 2004 Settlement Agreement, DWA is without sufficient knowledge or information to form a belief as to the truth of the remaining allegations contained therein and, on that basis, denies each and every remaining allegation. To the extent that paragraph 58 contains any remaining factual allegations, DWA denies each and every allegation therein.
- 59. In answer to paragraph 59, DWA denies each and every allegation contained therein.
- In answer to paragraph 60, DWA avers that each and every allegation in it consists 60. of legal arguments, theories, or conclusions to which no answer is required. To the extent that paragraph 60 contains any factual allegations, DWA denies each and every allegation.

01358.00013\31514549.2

61. In answer to paragraph 61, DWA admits that MSWD, CVWD, and DWA, among
others, shared the costs of preparing the Coachella Valley Integrated Regional Water
Management Plan ("IRWMP"). DWA admits that MSWD, CVWD, and DWA shared the costs
of preparing the Mission Creek-Garnet Hill Water Management Plan. DWA alleges that both the
IRWMP and Mission Creek-Garnet Hill Water Management Plan speak for themselves. Except
as expressly admitted or alleged herein, DWA denies each and every remaining allegation
contained in paragraph 61.

- 62. In answer to paragraph 62, DWA admits that final contracts for development of the Mission Creek-Garnet Hill Water Management Plan were approved by CVWD and MSWD in October 2008. DWA admits that it approved a modified proposal to facilitate preparation of the Mission Creek-Garnet Hill Water Management Plan in November 2010. DWA admits that groundwater modeling for the Mission Creek Sub-Basin and Garnet Hill was completed in 2013. Except as expressly admitted herein, DWA denies each and every remaining allegations contained in paragraph 62.
- 63. In answer to paragraph 63, DWA admits that, in February 2014, the IRWMP was approved by a number of water purveyors in the Coachella Valley, including MSWD, DWA, and CVWD. Moreover, DWA alleges that the IRWMP speaks for itself. Except as expressly admitted or alleged by DWA herein, DWA is without sufficient knowledge or information to form a belief as to the truth of the remaining allegations contained in paragraph 63 and, on that basis, denies each and every remaining allegation contained therein.
- 64. In answer to paragraph 64, DWA admits that in August 2014, DWA, CVWD, MSWD, Coachella Water Authority ("CWA"), Indio Water Authority ("IWA"), and Valley Sanitary District entered into a Memorandum of Understanding, which speaks for itself. Except as expressly admitted herein, DWA is without sufficient knowledge or information to form a belief as to the truth of the remaining allegations contained in paragraph 64 and, on that basis, denies each and every remaining allegation contained therein.
- 65. In answer to paragraph 65, DWA admits that the Sustainable Groundwater Management Act ("SGMA") was enacted in or around September 2014. Except as expressly 12 -

admitted herein, DWA avers that each and every remaining allegation in paragraph 65 consists of legal arguments, theories, or conclusions to which no answer is required. However, to the extent that paragraph 65 contains any remaining factual allegations, DWA is without sufficient knowledge or information to form a belief as to the truth of the remaining allegations contained in paragraph 65 and, on that basis, denies each and every remaining allegation contained therein.

- 66. In answer to paragraph 66, DWA admits the allegations contained therein.
- 67. In answer to paragraph 67, DWA denies that the Indio (Whitewater River) Sub-Basin is classified by DWR as a "medium-priority" basin. Except as expressly denied herein, DWA avers that each and every allegation in it consists of legal arguments, theories, or conclusions to which no answer is required. However, to the extent that paragraph 67 contains any factual allegations, DWA is without sufficient knowledge or information to form a belief as to the truth of the allegations contained therein and, on that basis, denies each and every allegation.
- 68. In answer to paragraph 68, DWA avers that each and every allegation in it consists of legal arguments, theories, or conclusions to which no answer is required. However, to the extent that paragraph 68 contains any factual allegations, DWA is without sufficient knowledge or information to form a belief as to the truth of the allegations contained therein and, on that basis, denies each and every allegation.
- 69. In answer to paragraph 69, DWA alleges that Water Code section 10726.4(a) speaks for itself. Except as expressly alleged herein, DWA avers that each and every allegation in it consists of legal arguments, theories, or conclusions to which no answer is required. However, to the extent that paragraph 69 contains any factual allegations, DWA is without sufficient knowledge or information to form a belief as to the truth of the allegations contained therein and, on that basis, denies each and every allegation.
- 70. In answer to paragraph 70, DWA denies that Water Code section 10721(m) defines the term "any local agency." DWA admits that SGMA designates fifteen (15) "agencies created by statute to manage groundwater" as the "exclusive local agencies within their respective statutory boundaries with powers to comply" with SGMA. Except as expressly denied or -13 -

01358.00013\31514549.2

admitted herein, DWA avers that each and every remaining allegation in it consists of legal arguments, theories, or conclusions to which no answer is required. However, to the extent that paragraph 70 contains any remaining factual allegations, DWA is without sufficient knowledge or information to form a belief as to the truth of the allegations contained therein and, on that basis, denies each and every allegation.

- 71. In answer to paragraph 71, DWA denies that it was not an agency created by statute to manage groundwater. DWA admits that it was designated by the California Legislature as an exclusive local agency under SGMA. Additionally, DWA alleges that the statute speaks for itself. Except as expressly denied, admitted, or alleged by DWA herein, DWA avers that each and every remaining allegation in it consists of legal arguments, theories, or conclusions to which no answer is required. However, to the extent that paragraph 71 contains any remaining factual allegations, DWA is without sufficient knowledge or information to form a belief as to the truth of the remaining allegations contained therein and, on that basis, denies each and every remaining allegation.
- 72. In answer to paragraph 72, DWA alleges that the statute speaks for itself. Except as expressly alleged herein, DWA avers that each and every remaining allegation in it consists of legal arguments, theories, or conclusions to which no answer is required. However, to the extent that paragraph 72 contains any remaining factual allegations, DWA is without sufficient knowledge or information to form a belief as to the truth of the allegations contained therein and, on that basis, denies each and every allegation.
- 73. In answer to paragraph 73, DWA alleges that the statute speaks for itself. Except as expressly alleged herein, DWA avers that each and every remaining allegation in it consists of legal arguments, theories, or conclusions to which no answer is required. However, to the extent that Paragraph 73 contains any remaining factual allegations, DWA denies each and every allegation.
- 74. In answer to paragraph 74, DWA admits that it was designated under SGMA as the exclusive GSA within its statutory boundaries. DWA denies that it does not provide water service within MSWD's boundaries. Except as expressly admitted or denied herein, DWA avers 14 -

that each and every remaining allegation in it consists of legal arguments, theories, or conclusions to which no answer is required. However, to the extent that paragraph 74 contains any remaining factual allegations, DWA is without sufficient knowledge or information to form a belief as to the truth of the allegations contained therein and, on that basis, denies each and every remaining allegation.

75 In answer to paragraph 75, DWA alleges that the statute speaks for itself. Except

- 75. In answer to paragraph 75, DWA alleges that the statute speaks for itself. Except as expressly alleged herein, DWA avers that each and every remaining allegation in it consists of legal arguments, theories, or conclusions to which no answer is required. However, to the extent that Paragraph 75 contains any remaining factual allegations, DWA is without sufficient knowledge or information to form a belief as to the truth of the allegations contained therein and, on that basis, denies each and every allegation.
- 76. In answer to paragraph 76, DWA avers that each and every allegation in it consists of legal arguments, theories, or conclusions to which no answer is required. However, to the extent that Paragraph 76 contains any factual allegations, DWA denies each and every allegation.
- 77. In answer to paragraph 77, DWA alleges that the statute speaks for itself. Except as expressly alleged herein, DWA avers that each and every remaining allegation in it consists of legal arguments, theories, or conclusions to which no answer is required. However, to the extent that paragraph 77 contains any remaining factual allegations, DWA is without sufficient knowledge or information to form a belief as to the truth of the allegations contained therein and, on that basis, denies each and every allegation.
- 78. In answer to paragraph 78, DWA avers that each and every allegation in it consists of legal arguments, theories, or conclusions to which no answer is required. However, to the extent that paragraph 78 contains any factual allegations, DWA is without sufficient knowledge or information to form a belief as to the truth of the allegations contained therein and, on that basis, denies each and every allegation.
- 79. In answer to paragraph 79, DWA denies that its notice of intent was deficient. Except as expressly denied herein, DWA admits the remaining allegations in paragraph 79.
- 80. In answer to paragraph 80, DWA avers that each and every allegation in it consists 15 -

of legal arguments, theories, or conclusions to which no answer is required. However, to the extent that paragraph 80 contains any factual allegations, DWA is without sufficient knowledge or information to form a belief as to the truth of the allegations contained therein and, on that basis, denies each and every allegation.

- 81. In answer to paragraph 81, DWA is without sufficient knowledge or information to form a belief as to the truth of the allegations contained therein and, on that basis, denies each and every allegation.
- 82. In answer to paragraph 82, DWA avers that each and every allegation in it consists of legal arguments, theories, or conclusions to which no answer is required. However, to the extent that paragraph 82 contains any factual allegations, DWA is without sufficient knowledge or information to form a belief as to the truth of the allegations contained therein and, on that basis, denies each and every allegation.
 - 83. In answer to paragraph 83, DWA admits the allegations contained therein.
- 84. In answer to paragraph 84, DWA denies that Garnet Hill is a "sub-basin" of the Coachella Valley Groundwater Basin. DWA denies that its proposed GSA boundaries included any portion of the Desert Hot Springs Sub-Basin. DWA denies that the San Gorgonio Pass Basin is a sub-basin of the Coachella Valley Groundwater Basin. DWA denies that the "three square mile area" falls outside its service area boundaries. DWA denies that the portion of the San Gorgonio Pass Basin included within its proposed GSA boundaries lies also within the boundaries of MSWD. Except as expressly denied herein, DWA admits the remaining allegations in paragraph 84.
- 85. In answer to paragraph 85, DWA admits that it received a letter from Nancy S. Wright letter on November 13, 2016. Except as expressly admitted herein, DWA is without sufficient knowledge or information to form a belief as to the truth of any remaining factual allegations contained therein and, on that basis, denies each and every allegation.
- 86. In answer to paragraph 86, DWA alleges that the transcript from the November 17, 2015 DWA Board of Directors meeting speaks for itself. Except as expressly alleged herein, DWA denies each and every remaining allegations in paragraph 86.

- 16 -

	87.	In answer to paragraph 87, DW	/A alleges that	the transcript from the	e November 17
2015	DWA	Board of Directors meeting spe	aks for itself.	Except as expressly	alleged herein
DWA	avers	that each and every remaining all	egation in it co	onsists of legal argume	nts, theories, or
concl	isions	to which no answer is required.	However, to t	the extent that paragra	aph 87 contains
any re	mainir	ng factual allegations, DWA denie	es each and eve	ery allegation.	

- 88. In answer to paragraph 88, DWA alleges that the transcript from the November 17, 2015 DWA Board of Directors meeting speaks for itself. Except as expressly alleged herein, DWA avers that each and every remaining allegation in it consists of legal arguments, theories, or conclusions to which no answer is required. However, to the extent that paragraph 88 contains any remaining factual allegations, DWA denies each and every allegation.
- 89. In answer to paragraph 89, DWA alleges that the transcript from the November 17, 2015 DWA Board of Directors meeting speaks for itself. Except as expressly alleged herein, DWA avers that each and every remaining allegation in it consists of legal arguments, theories, or conclusions to which no answer is required. However, to the extent that paragraph 89 contains any remaining factual allegations, DWA denies each and every allegation.
- 90. In answer to paragraph 90, DWA alleges that the transcript from the November 17, 2015 DWA Board of Directors meeting speaks for itself. Except as expressly alleged herein, DWA avers that each and every remaining allegation in it consists of legal arguments, theories, or conclusions to which no answer is required. However, to the extent that paragraph 90 contains any remaining factual allegations, DWA denies each and every allegation.
- 91. In answer to paragraph 91, DWA denies that the San Gorgonio Pass Basin is a sub-basin of the Coachella Valley Groundwater Basin. Except as expressly denied herein, DWA admits the allegations contained therein.
- 92. In answer to paragraph 92, DWA avers that each and every allegation in it consists of legal arguments, theories, or conclusions to which no answer is required. However, to the extent that paragraph 92 contains any factual allegations, DWA denies each and every allegation.
- 93. In answer to paragraph 93, DWA admits that DWR deemed DWA's notice of intent to be "complete." Except as expressly admitted herein, DWA avers that each and every 17 -

remaining allegation in it consists of legal arguments, theories, or conclusions to which no answer is required. However, to the extent that paragraph 93 contains any remaining factual allegations, DWA is without sufficient knowledge or information to form a belief as to the truth of the allegations contained therein and, on that basis, denies each and every allegation.

- 94. In answer to paragraph 94, DWA admits that DWR deemed DWA's notice of intent to be "complete" and posted it on DWR's website. Except as expressly admitted herein, DWA avers that each and every remaining allegation in it consists of legal arguments, theories, or conclusions to which no answer is required. However, to the extent that paragraph 94 contains any remaining factual allegations, DWA is without sufficient knowledge or information to form a belief as to the truth of the allegations contained therein and, on that basis, denies each and every allegation.
- 95. In answer to paragraph 95, DWA avers that each and every allegation in it consists of legal arguments, theories, or conclusions to which no answer is required. However, to the extent that paragraph 95 contains any factual allegations, DWA is without sufficient knowledge or information to form a belief as to the truth of the allegations contained therein and, on that basis, denies each and every allegation.
- 96. In answer to paragraph 96, DWA avers that each and every allegation in it consists of legal arguments, theories, or conclusions to which no answer is required. However, to the extent that paragraph 96 contains any factual allegations, DWA is without sufficient knowledge or information to form a belief as to the truth of the allegations contained therein and, on that basis, denies each and every allegation.
- 97. In answer to paragraph 97, DWA avers that each and every allegation in it consists of legal arguments, theories, or conclusions to which no answer is required. However, to the extent that paragraph 97 contains any factual allegations, DWA is without sufficient knowledge or information to form a belief as to the truth of the allegations contained therein and, on that basis, denies each and every allegation.
- 98. In answer to paragraph 98, DWA avers that each and every allegation in it consists of legal arguments, theories, or conclusions to which no answer is required. However, to the -18 -

2

3

4

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

24

25

26

27

28

extent that paragraph 98 contains any factual allegations, DWA denies each and every allegation.

- 99. In answer to paragraph 99, DWA avers that each and every allegation in it consists of legal arguments, theories, or conclusions to which no answer is required. However, to the extent that paragraph 99 contains any factual allegations, DWA denies each and every allegation.
- 100. In answer to paragraph 100, DWA avers that each and every allegation in it consists of legal arguments, theories, or conclusions to which no answer is required. However, to the extent that paragraph 100 contains any factual allegations, DWA is without sufficient knowledge or information to form a belief as to the truth of the allegations contained therein and, on that basis, denies each and every allegation.
- 101. In answer to paragraph 101, DWA avers that each and every allegation in it consists of legal arguments, theories, or conclusions to which no answer is required. However, to the extent that paragraph 101 contains any factual allegations, DWA denies each and every allegation contained therein.
- 102. In answer to paragraph 102, DWA is without sufficient knowledge or information to form a belief as to the truth of the allegations contained therein and, on that basis, denies each and every allegation.
- In answer to paragraph 103, DWA is without sufficient knowledge or information 103. to form a belief as to the truth of the allegations contained therein and, on that basis, denies each and every allegation.
- In answer to paragraph 104, DWA is without sufficient knowledge or information to form a belief as to the truth of the allegations contained therein and, on that basis, denies each and every allegation.
- In answer to paragraph 105, DWA denies that the respective positions taken by its legal counsel at either DWA's public hearing on November 17, 2015 or MSWD's public hearing on January 19, 2016 were inconsistent with each other. Except as expressly denied herein, DWA avers that each and every remaining allegation in it consists of legal arguments, theories, or conclusions to which no answer is required. However, to the extent that paragraph 105 contains any remaining factual allegations, DWA is without sufficient knowledge or information to form a

2

3

4

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

24

25

26

27

28

belief as to the truth of the remaining allegations contained therein and, on that basis, denies each and every allegation.

- In answer to paragraph 106, DWA is without sufficient knowledge or information to form a belief as to the truth of the allegations contained therein and, on that basis, denies each and every allegation.
- 107. In answer to paragraph 107, DWA is without sufficient knowledge or information to form a belief as to the truth of the allegations contained therein and, on that basis, denies each and every allegation.
- In answer to paragraph 108, DWA is without sufficient knowledge or information 108. to form a belief as to the truth of the allegations contained therein and, on that basis, denies each and every allegation.
 - 109. In answer to paragraph 109, DWA admits the allegations contained therein.
- 110. In answer to paragraph 110, DWA alleges that the February 29, 2016 letter speaks for itself. Except as expressly admitted herein, DWA is without sufficient knowledge or information to form a belief as to the truth of the allegations contained therein and, on that basis, denies each and every allegation.
 - In answer to paragraph 111, DWA admits the allegations contained therein. 111.
- In answer to paragraph 112, DWA admits that DWR deemed MSWD's notice of 112. intent "incomplete." Except as expressly admitted herein, DWA is without sufficient knowledge or information to form a belief as to the truth of the allegations contained therein and, on that basis, denies each and every allegation.
- In answer to paragraph 113, DWA is without sufficient knowledge or information to form a belief as to the truth of the allegations contained therein and, on that basis, denies each and every allegation.
- In answer to paragraph 114, DWA denies that it has "overlap" status with MSWD 114. in any portion of the San Gorgonio Pass Basin. Except as expressly denied herein, DWA admits the remaining allegations in paragraph 114.
 - In answer to paragraph 115, DWA admits the allegations contained therein. 115. - 20 -

116. In answer to paragraph 116, DWA admits that the Mission Creek Sub-Basin Alternative Plan is based on the existing Mission Creek-Garnet Hill Water Management Plan, prepared by DWA, CVWD, and MSWD, and related Bridge Document. Except as expressly admitted herein, DWA denies each and every remaining allegation.

- 117. In answer to paragraph 117, DWA denies that it prepared the Coachella Valley Water Management Plan. DWA further denies that it violated any provision of the 2004 Settlement Agreement. Except as expressly denied herein, DWA is without sufficient knowledge or information to form a belief as to the truth of the remaining allegations contained therein and, on that basis, denies each and every allegation.
- 118. In answer to paragraph 118, DWA admits that it received a letter from MSWD dated November 21, 2016. Moreover, DWA admits that MSWD was not included in the meetings of the Indio (Whitewater River) Sub-Basin GSAs held to prepare the Indio Sub-Basin Alternative Plan. Except as expressly admitted herein, DWA is without sufficient knowledge or information to form a belief as to the truth of the remaining allegations contained therein and, on that basis, denies each and every allegation.
- 119. In answer to paragraph 119, DWA admits that it, along with CVWD, sent a letter to MSWD dated December 19, 2016 which stated, "We do not agree with the assertion in your letter that MSWD is currently a GSA within the Indio Sub-Basin. Instead, the Department of Water Resources ('DWR') has identified a very small area of the Indio Sub-Basin as being in "overlap" status between DWA and MSWD for which neither entity has yet been awarded GSA status. DWA has been recognized by DWR as the exclusive GSA for the balance of that portion of the Indio-Sub-Basin within DWA's boundaries. Because MSWD is not currently a GSA in the Indio Sub-Basin, we do not believe an amendment to the MOU is warranted at this time." Except as expressly admitted herein, DWA is without sufficient knowledge or information to form a belief as to the truth of the remaining allegations contained therein and, on that basis, denies each and every allegation.
- 120. In answer to paragraph 120, DWA re-alleges and incorporates its responses to paragraphs 1 through 119.

- 21 -

121. In answer to paragraph 121, DWA avers that each and every allegation in
consists of legal arguments, theories, or conclusions to which no answer is required. However, t
the extent that paragraph 121 contains any factual allegations, DWA is without sufficient
knowledge or information to form a belief as to the truth of the allegations contained therein and
on that basis, denies each and every allegation.

- 122. In answer to paragraph 122, DWA avers that each and every allegation in it consists of legal arguments, theories, or conclusions to which no answer is required. However, to the extent that paragraph 122 contains any factual allegations, DWA is without sufficient knowledge or information to form a belief as to the truth of the allegations contained therein and, on that basis, denies each and every allegation.
- 123. In answer to paragraph 123, DWA avers that each and every allegation in it consists of legal arguments, theories, or conclusions to which no answer is required. However, to the extent that paragraph 123 contains any factual allegations, DWA denies each and every allegation.
- 124. In answer to paragraph 124, DWA avers that each and every allegation in it consists of legal arguments, theories, or conclusions to which no answer is required. However, to the extent that paragraph 124 contains any factual allegations, DWA denies each and every allegation.
- 125. In answer to paragraph 125, DWA avers that each and every allegation in it consists of legal arguments, theories, or conclusions to which no answer is required. However, to the extent that paragraph 125 contains any factual allegations, DWA denies each and every allegation.
- 126. In answer to paragraph 126, DWA avers that each and every allegation in it consists of legal arguments, theories, or conclusions to which no answer is required. However, to the extent that paragraph 126 contains any factual allegations, DWA denies each and every allegation.
- 127. In answer to paragraph 127, DWA avers that each and every allegation in it consists of legal arguments, theories, or conclusions to which no answer is required. However, to 22 -

the extent that paragraph 127 contains any factual allegations, DWA denies each and every allegation.

- 128. In answer to paragraph 128, DWA avers that each and every allegation in it consists of legal arguments, theories, or conclusions to which no answer is required. However, to the extent that paragraph 128 contains any factual allegations, DWA is without sufficient knowledge or information to form a belief as to the truth of the allegations contained therein and, on that basis, denies each and every allegation.
- 129. In answer to paragraph 129, DWA avers that each and every allegation in it consists of legal arguments, theories, or conclusions to which no answer is required. However, to the extent that paragraph 129 contains any factual allegations, DWA is without sufficient knowledge or information to form a belief as to the truth of the allegations contained therein and, on that basis, denies each and every allegation.
- 130. In answer to paragraph 130, DWA avers that each and every allegation in it consists of legal arguments, theories, or conclusions to which no answer is required. However, to the extent that paragraph 130 contains any factual allegations, DWA is without sufficient knowledge or information to form a belief as to the truth of the allegations contained therein and, on that basis, denies each and every allegation.
- 131. In answer to paragraph 131, DWA avers that each and every allegation in it consists of legal arguments, theories, or conclusions to which no answer is required. However, to the extent that paragraph 131 contains any factual allegations, DWA is without sufficient knowledge or information to form a belief as to the truth of the allegations contained therein and, on that basis, denies each and every allegation.
- 132. In answer to paragraph 132, DWA avers that each and every allegation in it consists of legal arguments, theories, or conclusions to which no answer is required. However, to the extent that paragraph 132 contains any factual allegations, DWA is without sufficient knowledge or information to form a belief as to the truth of the allegations contained therein and, on that basis, denies each and every allegation.
- 133. In answer to paragraph 133, DWA admits that DWR deemed DWA's notice of -23 -

01358.00013\31514549.2

intent to be "complete," posted DWA's notice of intent on its website, and designated DWA as the "exclusive" GSA over the requested portions of the Subbasins. DWA further admits that DWR thereafter amended its determination to designate the "three square mile area" as "overlap" between MSWD and DWA. Except as expressly admitted herein, DWA avers that each and every remaining allegation in it consists of legal arguments, theories, or conclusions to which no answer is required. However, to the extent that paragraph 133 contains any remaining factual allegations, DWA is without sufficient knowledge or information to form a belief as to the truth of the remaining allegations contained therein and, on that basis, denies each and every allegation.

- 134. In answer to paragraph 134, DWA avers that each and every allegation in it consists of legal arguments, theories, or conclusions to which no answer is required. However, to the extent that paragraph 134 contains any factual allegations, DWA denies each and every allegation.
- 135. In answer to paragraph 135, DWA avers that each and every allegation in it consists of legal arguments, theories, or conclusions to which no answer is required. However, to the extent that paragraph 135 contains any factual allegations, DWA is without sufficient knowledge or information to form a belief as to the truth of the allegations contained therein and, on that basis, denies each and every allegation.
- 136. In answer to paragraph 136, DWA avers that each and every allegation in it consists of legal arguments, theories, or conclusions to which no answer is required.
- 137. In answer to paragraph 137, DWA re-alleges and incorporates its responses to paragraphs 1 through 136.
- 138. In answer to paragraph 138, DWA avers that each and every allegation in it consists of legal arguments, theories, or conclusions to which no answer is required. However, to the extent that paragraph 138 contains any factual allegations, DWA denies each and every allegation.
- 139. In answer to paragraph 139, DWA avers that each and every allegation in it consists of legal arguments, theories, or conclusions to which no answer is required. However, to the extent that paragraph 139 contains any factual allegations, DWA denies each and every 24 -

allegation.

- 140. In answer to paragraph 140, DWA avers that each and every allegation in it consists of legal arguments, theories, or conclusions to which no answer is required. However, to the extent that paragraph 140 contains any factual allegations, DWA is without sufficient knowledge or information to form a belief as to the truth of the allegations contained therein and, on that basis, denies each and every allegation.
- 141. In answer to paragraph 141, DWA avers that each and every allegation in it consists of legal arguments, theories, or conclusions to which no answer is required. However, to the extent that paragraph 141 contains any factual allegations, DWA denies each and every allegation.
- 142. In answer to paragraph 142, DWA avers that each and every allegation in it consists of legal arguments, theories, or conclusions to which no answer is required. However, to the extent that paragraph 142 contains any factual allegations, DWA denies each and every allegation.
- 143. In answer to paragraph 143, DWA avers that each and every allegation in it consists of legal arguments, theories, or conclusions to which no answer is required. However, to the extent that paragraph 143 contains any factual allegations, DWA denies each and every allegation.
- 144. In answer to paragraph 144, DWA avers that each and every allegation in it consists of legal arguments, theories, or conclusions to which no answer is required. However, to the extent that paragraph 144 contains any factual allegations, DWA denies each and every allegation.
- 145. In answer to paragraph 145, DWA avers that each and every allegation in it consists of legal arguments, theories, or conclusions to which no answer is required. However, to the extent that paragraph 145 contains any factual allegations, DWA denies each and every allegation.
- 146. In answer to paragraph 146, DWA avers that each and every allegation in it consists of legal arguments, theories, or conclusions to which no answer is required. However, to -25 -

01358.00013\31514549.2

the extent that paragraph 146 contains any factual allegations, DWA denies each and every
allegation.
147. In answer to paragraph 147, DWA avers that each and every allegation in it
consists of legal arguments, theories, or conclusions to which no answer is required. However, to
the extent that paragraph 147 contains any factual allegations, DWA denies each and every
allegation.
148. In answer to paragraph 148, DWA is not responding to these allegations because
they are not directed at DWA.
149. In answer to paragraph 149, DWA is not responding to these allegations because
they are not directed at DWA.
150. In answer to paragraph 150, DWA is not responding to these allegations because
they are not directed at DWA.
151. In answer to paragraph 151, DWA is not responding to these allegations because
they are not directed at DWA.
152. In answer to paragraph 152, DWA is not responding to these allegations because
they are not directed at DWA.
153. In answer to paragraph 153, DWA is not responding to these allegations because
they are not directed at DWA.
154. In answer to paragraph 154, DWA is not responding to these allegations because
they are not directed at DWA.
155. In answer to paragraph 155, DWA is not responding to these allegations because
they are not directed at DWA.
156. In answer to paragraph 156, DWA re-alleges and incorporates its responses to
paragraphs 1 through 155.
157. In answer to paragraph 157, DWA admits that the 2004 Settlement Agreement
provides that MSWD is a party to the Management Committee; that the Management Committee
would meet quarterly beginning in 2005 at the offices of CVWD; that the purpose of the

Management Committee is to exchange information, express ideas and otherwise discuss, in a

- 26 -

free, comprehensive, and frank manner any and all aspects regarding the management of water resources within the Mission Creek Sub-Basin, the Indio (Whitewater River) Sub-Basin, and Garnet Hill of the Upper Coachella Valley Groundwater Basin; and that the discussions at the quarterly meeting shall include quantities and timing of water to be recharged into the Subbasins. DWA further admits that the 2004 Settlement Agreement reserved for all parties, including MSWD, final and absolute discretion to approve or disapprove, prior to commitment, any and all commitments, expenditures or obligations (financial or otherwise) with respect to the Subbasins or the subject matter brought before the Management Committee, but that such reservation of rights shall not be construed to diminish the legal authority of any party to the Agreement. Except as expressly admitted herein, DWA is without sufficient knowledge or information to form a belief as to the truth of the remaining allegations contained therein and, on that basis, denies each and every allegation.

- 158. In answer to paragraph 158, DWA admits that it entered into a Memorandum of Understanding Regarding Governance of the Indio Sub-Basin under the Sustainable Groundwater Management Act. Except as expressly admitted herein, DWA is without sufficient knowledge or information to form a belief as to the truth of the remaining allegations contained therein and, on that basis, denies each and every allegation.
- 159. In answer to paragraph 159, DWA avers that each and every allegation in it consists of legal arguments, theories, or conclusions to which no answer is required. However, to the extent that paragraph 159 contains any factual allegations, DWA denies each and every allegation.
- 160. In answer to paragraph 160, DWA alleges that the Indio Sub-Basin Alternative Plan Bridge Document speaks for itself. Except as expressly alleged herein, DWA avers that each and every allegation in it consists of legal arguments, theories, or conclusions to which no answer is required. However, to the extent that paragraph 160 contains any factual allegations, DWA is without sufficient knowledge or information to form a belief as to the truth of the remaining allegations contained therein and, on that basis, denies each and every allegation.
- 161. In answer to paragraph 161, DWA denies that it repudiated or breached the 2004 27 01358.00013\31514549.2

Settlement Agreement. Except as expressly denied herein, DWA is without sufficient knowledge or information to form a belief as to the truth of the remaining allegations contained therein and, on that basis, denies each and every allegation.

162. In answer to paragraph 162, DWA denies each and every allegation.

163. In answer to paragraph 163, DWA denies each and every allegation.

- 164. In answer to paragraph 164, DWA avers that each and every allegation in it consists of legal arguments, theories, or conclusions to which no answer is required. However, to the extent that paragraph 164 contains any factual allegations, DWA is without sufficient knowledge or information to form a belief as to the truth of the allegations contained therein and, on that basis, denies each and every allegation.
- 165. In answer to paragraph 165, DWA avers that each and every allegation in it consists of legal arguments, theories, or conclusions to which no answer is required. However, to the extent that paragraph 165 contains any factual allegations, DWA denies each and every allegation.
- 166. In answer to paragraph 166, DWA avers that each and every allegation in it consists of legal arguments, theories, or conclusions to which no answer is required. However, to the extent that paragraph 166 contains any factual allegations, DWA denies each and every allegation.
- 167. In answer to paragraph 167, DWA is without sufficient knowledge or information to form a belief as to the truth of the allegations contained therein and, on that basis, denies each and every allegation.
 - 168. In answer to paragraph 168, DWA denies each and every allegation.
- 169. In answer to paragraph 169, DWA is without sufficient knowledge or information to form a belief as to the truth of the allegations contained therein and, on that basis, denies each and every allegation.
- 170. In answer to paragraph 170, DWA avers that each and every allegation in it consists of legal arguments, theories, or conclusions to which no answer is required. However, to the extent that paragraph 170 contains any factual allegations, DWA denies each and every 28 -

ERSITY AVENUE, 5TH FLOOR SIDE, CALIFORNIA 92502 allegation.

- 171. In answer to paragraph 171, DWA avers that each and every allegation in it consists of legal arguments, theories, or conclusions to which no answer is required. However, to the extent that paragraph 171 contains any factual allegations, DWA denies each and every allegation.
- 172. In answer to paragraph 172, DWA avers that each and every allegation in it consists of legal arguments, theories, or conclusions to which no answer is required. However, to the extent that paragraph 172 contains any factual allegations, DWA denies each and every allegation.
- 173. In answer to paragraph 173, DWA avers that each and every allegation in it consists of legal arguments, theories, or conclusions to which no answer is required. However, to the extent that paragraph 173 contains any factual allegations, DWA denies each and every allegation.
- 174. In answer to paragraph 174, DWA is without sufficient knowledge or information to form a belief as to the truth of the allegations contained therein and, on that basis, denies each and every allegation.
- 175. In answer to paragraph 175, DWA re-alleges and incorporates its responses to paragraphs 1 through 174.
- 176. In answer to paragraph 176, DWA avers that each and every allegation in it consists of legal arguments, theories, or conclusions to which no answer is required. However, to the extent that paragraph 176 contains any factual allegations, DWA denies each and every allegation.
- 177. In answer to paragraph 177, DWA avers that each and every allegation in it consists of legal arguments, theories, or conclusions to which no answer is required. However, to the extent that paragraph 177 contains any factual allegations, DWA denies each and every allegation.
- 178. In answer to paragraph 178, DWA avers that each and every allegation in it consists of legal arguments, theories, or conclusions to which no answer is required. However, to -29 -

2

3

4

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

24

25

26

27

28

the extent that paragraph 178 contains any factual allegations, DWA denies each and every allegation.

- In answer to paragraph 179, DWA avers that each and every allegation in it consists of legal arguments, theories, or conclusions to which no answer is required. However, to the extent that paragraph 179 contains any factual allegations, DWA denies each and every allegation.
- 180. In answer to paragraph 180, DWA avers that each and every allegation in it consists of legal arguments, theories, or conclusions to which no answer is required. However, to the extent that paragraph 180 contains any factual allegations, DWA denies each and every allegation.
- 181. In answer to paragraph 181, DWA avers that each and every allegation in it consists of legal arguments, theories, or conclusions to which no answer is required. However, to the extent that paragraph 181 contains any factual allegations, DWA denies each and every allegation.
- 182. In answer to paragraph 182, DWA re-alleges and incorporates its responses to paragraphs 1 through 181.
 - 183. In answer to paragraph 183, DWA denies each and every allegation.
- 184. In answer to paragraph 184, DWA avers that each and every allegation in it consists of legal arguments, theories, or conclusions to which no answer is required. However, to the extent that paragraph 184 contains any factual allegations, DWA is without sufficient knowledge or information to form a belief as to the truth of the allegations contained therein and, on that basis, denies each and every allegation.
- In answer to paragraph 185, DWA avers that each and every allegation in it consists of legal arguments, theories, or conclusions to which no answer is required. However, to the extent that paragraph 185 contains any factual allegations, DWA is without sufficient knowledge or information to form a belief as to the truth of the allegations contained therein and, on that basis, denies each and every allegation.
- In answer to paragraph 186, DWA avers that each and every allegation in it 186. - 30 -01358.00013\31514549.2

01358.00013\31514549.2

consists of legal arguments, theories, or conclusions to which no answer is required. However, to the extent that paragraph 186 contains any factual allegations, DWA is without sufficient knowledge or information to form a belief as to the truth of the allegations contained therein and, on that basis, denies each and every allegation.

- 187. In answer to paragraph 187, DWA avers that each and every allegation in it consists of legal arguments, theories, or conclusions to which no answer is required. However, to the extent that paragraph 187 contains any factual allegations, DWA denies each and every allegation.
 - 188. In answer to paragraph 188, DWA denies each and every allegation.
 - 189. In answer to paragraph 189, DWA denies each and every allegation.
 - 190. In answer to paragraph 190, DWA denies each and every allegation.
- 191. In answer to paragraph 191, DWA re-alleges and incorporates its responses to paragraphs 1 through 190.
 - 192. In answer to paragraph 192, DWA denies each and every allegation.
 - 193. In answer to paragraph 193, DWA denies each and every allegation.
 - 194. In answer to paragraph 194, DWA denies each and every allegation.
- 195. In answer to paragraph 195, DWA avers that each and every allegation in it consists of legal arguments, theories, or conclusions to which no answer is required. However, to the extent that paragraph 195 contains any factual allegations, DWA denies each and every allegation.
- 196. In answer to paragraph 196, DWA avers that each and every allegation in it consists of legal arguments, theories, or conclusions to which no answer is required. However, to the extent that paragraph 196 contains any factual allegations, DWA is without sufficient knowledge or information to form a belief as to the truth of the allegations contained therein and, on that basis, denies each and every allegation.
 - 197. In answer to paragraph 197, DWA denies each and every allegation.
 - 198. In answer to paragraph 198, DWA denies each and every allegation.
 - 199. In answer to paragraph 199, DWA re-alleges and incorporates its responses to -31 -

paragraphs 1 through 198. 1 2 3

4

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

24

25

26

27

28

In answer to paragraph 200, DWA avers that each and every allegation in it consists of legal arguments, theories, or conclusions to which no answer is required. However, to the extent that paragraph 200 contains any factual allegations, DWA is without sufficient knowledge or information to form a belief as to the truth of the allegations contained therein and, on that basis, denies each and every allegation.

- In answer to paragraph 201, DWA denies each and every allegation. 201.
- 202. In answer to paragraph 202, DWA avers that each and every allegation in it consists of legal arguments, theories, or conclusions to which no answer is required. However, to the extent that paragraph 202 contains any factual allegations, DWA denies each and every allegation.
 - 203. In answer to paragraph 203, DWA denies each and every allegation.
 - 204. In answer to paragraph 204, DWA denies each and every allegation.
- 205. In answer to paragraph 205, DWA avers that each and every allegation in it consists of legal arguments, theories, or conclusions to which no answer is required. However, to the extent that paragraph 200 contains any factual allegations, DWA is without sufficient knowledge or information to form a belief as to the truth of the allegations contained therein and, on that basis, denies each and every allegation.

AFFIRMATIVE DEFENSES

FIRST AFFIRMATIVE DEFENSE

(Failure to State a Cause of Action)

As a first, separate, distinct, and affirmative defense, the Third Amended Petition and Complaint fails to state facts sufficient to constitute a cause of action against DWA.

SECOND AFFIRMATIVE DEFENSE

(Failure to Comply with Condition Precedent)

As a second, separate, distinct, and affirmative defense, the Third Amended Petition and Complaint is barred due to MSWD's failure to comply with the condition precedent in the 2004 Settlement Agreement.

01358.00013\31514549.2

2

3

4

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

24

25

26

27

28

THIRD AFFIRMATIVE DEFENSE

(Statute of Limitations)

As a third, separate, distinct, and affirmative defense, the Third Amended Petition and Complaint is barred by the applicable statute of limitations including, but not limited to, California Code of Civil Procedure §§ 337 and 1094.6.

FOURTH AFFIRMATIVE DEFENSE

(Laches)

As a fourth, separate, distinct, and affirmative defense, the Third Amended Petition and Complaint is barred by the doctrine of laches.

FIFTH AFFIRMATIVE DEFENSE

(Parol Evidence Rule)

As a fifth, separate, distinct, and affirmative defense, DWA alleges that each cause of action in the Third Amended Petition and Complaint is barred, in whole or in part, because the terms delineated in 2004 Settlement Agreement represent the full and complete agreement between the parties.

SIXTH AFFIRMATIVE DEFENSE

(Failure to Mitigate)

As a sixth, separate, distinct, and affirmative defense, DWA alleges that MSWD has failed to mitigate its damages or losses, if any it may have sustained, and is therefore barred from recovery against DWA.

SEVENTH AFFIRMATIVE DEFENSE

(Waiver/Estoppel)

As a seventh, separate, distinct, and affirmative defense, DWA alleges that MSWD has waived its claims and/or is estopped from asserting its claims against DWA through its own acts or omissions.

EIGHTH AFFIRMATIVE DEFENSE

(Unclean Hands)

As an eighth, separate, distinct, and affirmative defense, DWA alleges that the Third 01358.00013\31514549.2

2

3

4

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

24

25

26

27

28

01358.00013\31514549.2

Amended Petition and Complaint is barred by reason of MSWD's unclean hands. NINTH AFFIRMATIVE DEFENSE (Lack of Standing) As a ninth, separate, distinct, and affirmative defense, the Third Amended Petition and Complaint is barred by reason of MSWD's lack of standing. TENTH AFFIRMATIVE DEFENSE (No Right to Injunctive Relief) As an tenth, separate, distinct, and affirmative defense, DWA alleges that MSWD is not entitled to injunctive relief in this matter. ELEVENTH AFFIRMATIVE DEFENSE (Compliance with the Law) As a eleventh, separate, distinct, and affirmative defense, DWA alleges that each cause of action in the Third Amended Petition and Complaint is barred, in whole or in part, because DWA has complied with the law and acted reasonably with intent to obey the law. TWELFTH AFFIRMATIVE DEFENSE (No Prejudicial Abuse of Discretion) As a twelfth, separate, distinct, and affirmative defense, DWA alleges that each cause of action in the Third Amended Petition and Complaint is barred, in whole or in part, because no prejudicial abuse of discretion has occurred. THIRTEENTH AFFIRMATIVE DEFENSE (Further Defenses) As a thirteenth, separate, distinct, and affirmative defense, DWA expressly reserves the right to assert further defenses which may be appropriate in this matter. /// /// /// /// /// - 34 -

PRAYER 1 2 WHEREFORE, DWA respectfully prays as follows: That MSWD take nothing by way of the Third Amended Petition and Complaint; 3 (1) That judgment be rendered in favor of DWA; 4 (2) 5 (3) That DWA be awarded costs of suit; (4) That DWA be awarded its attorneys' fees; and, 6 For such other and further relief as this Court deems just and proper. 7 (5) 8 9 Dated: October 4, 2018 BEST BEST & KRIEGER LLP 10 11 12 PIERO C. DALLARDA WENDY Y. WANG 13 MILES B. H. KRIEGER Attorneys for Defendant/Respondent DESERT WATER AGENCY 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21 22 23 24 25 26 27 28

LAW OFFICES OF BEST BEST & KRIEGER LLP 3390 UNIVERSITY AVENUE, 5TH FLOOR RIVERSIDE, CALIFORNIA 92502

PROOF OF SERVICE

At the time of service I was over 18 years of age and not a party to this action. My business address is 3390 University Avenue, 5th Floor P.O. Box 1028, Riverside, California. On October 4, 2018, I served the following document(s):

DESERT WATER AGENCY'S ANSWER TO THIRD AMENDED PETITION FOR WRIT OF MANDATE AND/OR ADMINISTRATIVE MANDAMUS; COMPLAINT FOR BREACH OF CONTRACT (SPECIFIC PERFORMANCE) AND BREACH OF COVENANTS OF GOOD FAITH AND FAIR DEALING; COMPLAINT FOR DECLARATORY RELIEF AND INJUNCTIVE RELIEF

By fax transmission. Based on an agreement of the parties to accept service by fax

	error v	vas reported by the fax machine that I used. A copy of the record of the fax ission, which I printed out, is attached.	- 1
	•	y United States mail. I enclosed the documents in a sealed envelope or package ddressed to the persons at the addresses listed below (specify one):	
		Deposited the sealed envelope with the United States Postal Service, with the postage fully prepaid.	
		Placed the envelope for collection and mailing, following our ordinary business practices. I am readily familiar with this business's practice for collecting and processing correspondence for mailing. On the same day that correspondence is placed for collection and mailing, it is deposited in the ordinary course of business with the United States Postal Service, in a sealed envelope with postage fully prepaid.	
		resident or employed in the county where the mailing occurred. The envelope or e was placed in the mail at Riverside, California.	
	persons was ma envelor reception the part	sonal service. At a.m./p.m., I personally delivered the documents to the sat the addresses listed below. (1) For a party represented by an attorney, delivery adde to the attorney or at the attorney's office by leaving the documents in an one or package clearly labeled to identify the attorney being served with a point or an Individual in charge of the office. (2) For a party, delivery was made to try or by leaving the documents at the party's residence with some person not less years of age between the hours of eight in the morning and six in the evening.	
	package	ssenger service. I served the documents by placing them in an envelope or addressed to the persons at the addresses listed below and providing them to a ional messenger service for service. A Declaration of Messenger is attached.	
	an over	rnight delivery. I enclosed the documents in an envelope or package provided by night delivery carrier and addressed to the persons at the addresses listed below. I the envelope or package for collection and overnight delivery at an office or a ly utilized drop box of the overnight delivery carrier.	
358.0001°	3\31420720.1	-1-	

01358.00013\31420720.1

PROOF OF SERVICE

LAW OFFICES OF BEST BEST & KRIEGER LLP 3390 UNIVERSITY AVENUE, 5TH FLOOR RIVERSIDE, CALIFORNIA 92502

LAW OFFICES OF BEST BEST & KRIEGER LLP 3390 UNIVERSITY AVENUE, 5TH FLOOR RIVERSIDE, CALIFORNIA 92502

PROOF OF SERVICE

At the time of service I was over 18 years of age and not a party to this action. My business address is 3390 University Avenue, 5th Floor P.O. Box 1028, Riverside, California. On October 4, 2018, I served the following document(s):

DESERT WATER AGENCY'S ANSWER TO THIRD AMENDED PETITION FOR WRIT OF MANDATE AND/OR ADMINISTRATIVE MANDAMUS; COMPLAINT FOR BREACH OF CONTRACT (SPECIFIC PERFORMANCE) AND BREACH OF COVENANTS OF GOOD FAITH AND FAIR DEALING; COMPLAINT FOR DECLARATORY RELIEF AND INJUNCTIVE RELIEF

	By fax transmission. Based on an agreement of the parties to accept service by fax transmission, I faxed the documents to the persons at the fax numbers listed below. No error was reported by the fax machine that I used. A copy of the record of the fax transmission, which I printed out, is attached.
X	By United States mail. I enclosed the documents in a sealed envelope or package addressed to the persons at the addresses listed below (specify one):
	Deposited the sealed envelope with the United States Postal Service, with the postage fully prepaid.
	Placed the envelope for collection and mailing, following our ordinary business practices. I am readily familiar with this business's practice for collecting and processing correspondence for mailing. On the same day that correspondence is placed for collection and mailing, it is deposited in the ordinary course of business with the United States Postal Service, in a sealed envelope with postage fully prepaid.
	I am a resident or employed in the county where the mailing occurred. The envelope or package was placed in the mail at Riverside, California.
	By personal service. At a.m./p.m., I personally delivered the documents to the persons at the addresses listed below. (1) For a party represented by an attorney, delivery was made to the attorney or at the attorney's office by leaving the documents in an envelope or package clearly labeled to identify the attorney being served with a receptionist or an Individual in charge of the office. (2) For a party, delivery was made to the party or by leaving the documents at the party's residence with some person not less than 18 years of age between the hours of eight in the morning and six in the evening.
	By messenger service. I served the documents by placing them in an envelope or package addressed to the persons at the addresses listed below and providing them to a professional messenger service for service. A Declaration of Messenger is attached.

01358.00013\31420720.1

-3-

PROOF OF SERVICE

1	By overnight delivery. I enclosed the documents in an envelope or package
2	provided by an overnight delivery carrier and addressed to the persons at the addresses listed below. I placed the envelope or package for collection and
3	overnight delivery at an office or a regularly utilized drop box of the overnight delivery carrier.
4	By e-mail or electronic transmission. Based on a court order or an agreement or
5	the parties to accept service by e-mail or electronic transmission, before 5:00 p.m.
6	I caused the documents to be sent to the persons at the e-mail addresses listed below. I did not receive, within a reasonable time after the transmission, any
7	electronic message or other indication that the transmission was unsuccessful.
8	Thomas S. Bunn III Attorney for Real Party in Interest, THE
9	LAGERLOF, SENECAL, GOSNEY & CITY OF INDIO WATER AUTHORITY KRUSE LLP
10	301 North Lake Avenue, 10th Floor Pasadena, CA 91101-5123
11	Tel: (626) 793-9400 Fax: (626) 793-5900
12	Email: tombunn@lagerlof.com
13	Xavier Becerra Attorney for Respondent/Defendant, Attorney General of California CALIFORNIA DEPARTMENT OF WATER
14	Eric M. Katz RESOURCES Supervising Deputy Attorney General
15	Kurt Weissmuller
16	Deputy Attorney General OFFICE OF THE ATTORNEY GENERAL
17	300 S. Spring Street Los Angeles, CA 90013
18	Tel: (213) 897-7232 Fax: (213) 897-2802
19	Email: <u>kurt.weissmuller@doj.ca.gov</u>
20	
21	I declare under penalty of perjury under the laws of the State of California that the above is true and correct.
22	Executed on October 4, 2018, at Riverside, California.
23	Continue Manner
24	athura Duna
25	Sabrina Brenner
26	
27	
28	
	01358.00013\31420720.1 - 4 -
- 1	PROOF OF SERVICE